Final 2011 AYP Results

District Name: MILLER GROVE (112907)

Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2011-12 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure: Current & Monitored)	LEP (Students)
Performance: Reading/English	Language	Arts (ELA)	(AYP Target	: 80%)				
AYP Proficiency Rate 2010-11 Assessments								
Met Standard	88	*	14	72	44	*	10	n/a
Number Tested	99	*	18	79	50	*	13	11
% Met Standard	89%	*	78%	91%	88%	80%	77%	n/a
Student Group %	100%	*	18%	80%	51%	*	n/a	11%
Performance Improvement/Sa 2009-10 Assessments	fe Harbor							
Met Standard	98	*	14	83	37	*	10	n/a
Number Tested	121	*	19	101	49	*	15	14
% Met Standard	81%	*	74%	82%	76%	85%	67%	n/a
Change in % Met Standard	8	*	4	9	12	-5	10	

Final 2011 AYP Results

District Name: MILLER GROVE (112907)

Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2011-12 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure: Current & Monitored)	LEP (Students)
Performance: Mathematics (AY	Target:	75%)						
AYP Proficiency Rate 2010-11 Assessments								
Met Standard	82	*	*	64	41	*	*	n/a
Number Tested	99	*	*	79	50	*	*	11
% Met Standard	83%	*	89%	81%	82%	80%	85%	n/a
Student Group %	100%	*	*	80%	51%	*	n/a	*
Performance Improvement/Sa 2009-10 Assessments	afe Harbor							
Met Standard	89	*	12	76	32	9	8	n/a
Number Tested	120	*	18	101	48	13	14	13
% Met Standard	74%	*	67%	75%	67%	69%	57%	n/a
Change in % Met Standard	9	*	22	6	15	11	28	

Final 2011 AYP Results

District Name: MILLER GROVE (112907)

Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2011-12 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure)	LEP (Students)
Participation: Reading/ELA	(NYD Manach	. 05%)						
Participation: Reading/ELA	(AIF Taiget	: 33%)						
2010-11 Assessments								
Number Participating	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Total Students	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Participation Rate	99%	*	95%	>99%	98%	>99%		92%
Student Group %	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
2009-10 Assessments								
Number Participating	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Total Students	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Participation Rate	99%	*	>99%	99%	98%	>99%		>99%
Participation: Mathematics	(AVD Target	• 05%)						
Farcicipation: Mathematics	(AIF larget	• 33%)						
2010-11 Assessments								
Number Participating	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Total Students	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Participation Rate	99%	*	95%	>99%	98%	>99%		92%
Student Group %	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
2009-10 Assessments								
Number Participating	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Total Students	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Participation Rate	99%	*	95%	>99%	98%	>99%		93%

Final 2011 AYP Results

District Name: MILLER GROVE (112907)

Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2011-12 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure: Ever HS)	LEP (Students)
Four-Year Longitudinal C	ohort:							
Graduation Rate Class o	of 2010 (AYP T	arget: 75%)						
Graduates	18	0	*	16	6	*	*	*
Number in Class	19	0	2	17	6	3	*	*
Graduation Rate	94.7%	-	*	94.1%	100.0%	*	*	*
Student Group %	100%	0%	11%	89%	32%	16%	n/a	5%
Graduation Rate Class o	of 2009 (Safe)	Harbor or I	mprovement	of 1.0)				
Graduates	12	0	*	11	*	0	*	0
Number in Class	13	0	2	11	*	0	*	0
Graduation Rate	92.3%	-	*	100.0%	*	-	*	-
Change 2009 to 2010	2.4	_	*	-5.9	*	*	*	
Safe Harbor Target	0.0	-	4.0	0.0	0.0	-	9.0	
Five-Year Longitudinal C	Cohort (AYP Ta	rget: 80%)						
Class of 2009 Five-Year	Graduation R	ate						
Graduates	13	0	*	11	*	0	*	0
Number in Class	13	0	2	11	*	0	*	0
Graduation Rate	100.0%	-	*	100.0%	*	-	*	-

Final 2011 AYP Results

District Name: MILLER GROVE (112907)

Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2011-12 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

2011 AYP Explanation Table

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP
Performance: Reading/ELA	+	_	_	+	+	_	_
Performance: Math	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
Participation: Reading/ELA	+	_	_	+	+	_	_
Participation: Math	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
Other: Graduation Rate	_						
Other: Attendance Rate	-						

Meets AYP

⁻ Not Evaluated for AYP due to not meeting minimum size criteria, alternative not used, or the measure is not applicable

[%] Missed AYP for this performance measure due to the 2% and/or the 1% federal caps

X Missed AYP for this measure